arXiv:1401.1656v3 [math.NT] 24 Sep 2014

Local rigid cohomology of singular points

David Ouwehand

September 25, 2014

Abstract

The goal of this paper is to prove the following property. If two singular points $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$ on schemes over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ are contact equivalent according to the definition of [GK90] then the rigid cohomology spaces $H^\bullet_{\{x\},rig}(X)$ and $H^\bullet_{\{x'\},rig}(X')$ are canonically isomorphic.

1 Introduction and statement of results

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and take a k-scheme X together with a closed point $x \in X$. Now consider the space $H^i_{\{x\},rig}(X)$, the rigid cohomology of X with support in the closed set $\{x\}$. In this paper we will call this the *local rigid cohomology* of X at x. As a direct consequence of our main theorem 1.5 we will prove the following property.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$ be two points on k-schemes. Assume that these two points are contact equivalent according to the definition of [GK90]. Then for all i, there exists a Frobenius-equivariant isomorphism*

$$H^i_{rig,\{x\}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i_{rig,\{x'\}}(X')$$

on the local rigid cohomology.

This implies in particular that the local rigid cohomology is only interesting at singular points.

The rest of the introduction is organized as follows. First we introduce some notations and we impose a few technical assumptions on the objects that we study. In paragraph 1.2 we recall the notion of contact equivalence as defined in [GK90]. We also recall an important reformulation of this definition that is due to Artin. After this we formulate a more refined version of theorem 1.1.

1.1 Conventions and notations

Throughout this paper, K denotes a field of characteristic zero, complete w.r.t. a discrete valuation, with valuation ring $\mathcal{V}$ and perfect residue field k of char-

acteristic $p > 0$.

Any scheme X will be assumed to be reduced, of finite type over k and separated over k. Every morphism of schemes will be assumed to be a k-morphism. Every closed subset $Z \subset X$ will be equipped with the reduced subscheme structure. In our setting, a singular point $x \in X$ is called *isolated* if the singular locus X_{sing} is zero-dimensional at x.

Every formal scheme P will be assumed to be separated and topologically of finite type over $\mathcal{V}$. For such a P we may consider the generic fiber P_K, which is a quasi-compact separated rigid analytic space over K.

Recall that a *frame* is a series of immersions $(X \subset Y \subset P)$ where X and Y are schemes over k and P is a formal scheme over $\mathcal{V}$. The immersion $X \subset Y$ is required to be open and $Y \subset P$ is assumed to be a closed immersion of Y into the closed fiber of P. We let S denote the frame $(\operatorname{Spec} k \subset \operatorname{Spec} k \subset \operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{V})$. In this way, any frame $(X \subset Y \subset P)$ is a frame over S. Every morphism of frames will be assumed to be an S-morphism. A scheme X is called *realizable* if there exists a frame $(X \subset Y \subset P)$ with Y proper and P smooth in a neighbourhood of X. All quasi-projective schemes are obviously realizable. It is easy to show that for any morphism of realizable schemes $f\colon X' \to X$ there exists a morphism of frames

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

such that $(X' \subset Y' \subset P')$ resp. $(X \subset Y \subset P)$ is a realization of X' resp. of X and such that u is smooth in a neighbourhood of X'. Such a morphism of frames is called a *realization* of f. From now on we only consider realizable schemes. For the rest we will use the standard notation and terminology from rigid cohomology. Our main reference for this is [LS07].

1.2 Equivalence of singularities

Consider two points on k-schemes $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$. We say that these two points are *contact equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism of completed local rings $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X',x'}$. We denote this by $(X', x') \sim_c (X, x)$. This definition is very classical; see for instance [GK90]. In this paper we will need to use the following reformulation of contact equivalence. It is due to Artin.

Proposition 1.2. *Two points $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$ are contact equivalent if and only if there exists another scheme X'' together with a point $x'' \in X''$ and two morphisms $f'\colon X'' \to X'$ and $f\colon X'' \to X$ such that:*

i) $f'(x'') = x'$ and f' induces an isomorphism $k(x') \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x'')$ on the residue fields.

ii) $f(x'') = x$ *and* f *induces an isomorphism* $k(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x'')$ *on the residue fields.*

iii) f' *and* f *are étale at* x''*.*

Proof. For the "if" part of the proposition it suffices to observe that conditions i) through iii) imply that the Henselizations $\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}$ and $\mathcal{O}^h_{X',x'}$ are isomorphic. It follows that the completions of the local rings are isomorphic as well. The "only if" part is proved in [Art69, Corollary 2.6]. □

Before we can formulate our main theorem we will need another equivalent characterization of contact equivalence. For this we make the following definition.

Definition 1.3. Consider two points on k-schemes $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$. We will write $(X', x') \succ (X, x)$ if there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{x'}$ of x' and a morphism $f\colon U_{x'} \to X$ such that:

i) $f(x') = x$ and f induces an isomorphism $k(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x')$ on the residue fields.

ii) f is étale at x'.

iii) $f^{-1}(x) = \{x'\}$.

Proposition 1.2 now gives us an obvious connection between the notion of contact equivalence and the conditions in definition 1.3.

Proposition 1.4. *Assume that* $x' \in X'$ *and* $x \in X$ *are closed points. Then we have that* $(X', x') \sim_c (X, x)$ *if and only if there exists a pair* (X'', x'') *such that* $(X'', x'') \succ (X', x')$ *and* $(X'', x'') \succ (X, x)$*.*

Proof. First assume that there exists a pair (X'', x'') such that $(X'', x'') \succ (X', x')$ and $(X'', x'') \succ (X, x)$. Then there exist open neighbourhoods $U'_{x''}$ and $U_{x''}$ of x'' in X'' together with maps $f'\colon U'_{x''} \to X'$ and $f\colon U_{x''} \to X$ satisfying the conditions of definition 1.3. Then the maps f' and f restricted to $U'_{x''} \cap U_{x''}$ satisfy the conditions from proposition 1.2. Conversely, assume that $(X', x') \sim_c (X, x)$. Then we fix morphisms $f'\colon X'' \to X'\colon x'' \mapsto x'$ and $f\colon X'' \to X\colon x'' \mapsto x$ that satisfy the conditions from proposition 1.2. After replacing X'' by an open neighbourhood of x'' we may assume that f' and f are étale. It follows that the fibers $(f')^{-1}(x')$ and $f^{-1}(x)$ consist of a finite union of closed points. We may therefore shrink X'' and assume that $(f')^{-1}(x') = \{x''\}$ and $f^{-1}(x) = \{x''\}$. Then we have $(X'', x'') \succ (X', x')$ and $(X'', x'') \succ (X, x)$. □

1.3 Statement of the main theorem

With the terminology of paragraph 1.2 we are now ready to formulate our main theorem, which is about rigid cohomology with general coefficients.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (X', x') and (X, x) be two schemes with marked closed points such that $(X', x') \succ (X, x)$ via $f\colon U_{x'} \to X\colon x' \mapsto x$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in F\text{-Isoc}^{\dagger}(X/S)$ be a finitely presented overconvergent F-isocrystal on X. Then for all i, there exists a Frobenius-equivariant isomorphism*

$$H^i_{rig,\{x\}}(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i_{rig,\{x'\}}\left(X', f^*\mathcal{F}\right).$$

Moreover, this isomorphism is functorial in $\mathcal{F}$.

Remark 1.6.

i) In the statement of the theorem we have implicitly chosen an extension of $f^*\mathcal{F}$ to all of X'. The choice of the extension is not important, since by [LS07, Proposition 8.2.8] the local rigid cohomology only depends on an open neighbourhood of the support.

ii) In the statement of theorem 1.5 it is important that x' and x are closed points, otherwise the cohomology with support does not make sense. This is not a problem for our applications. Indeed, the singular locus of a scheme is closed under specialization [Liu02, Lemma 2.4.11.(b)]. So for quasi-compact schemes, every isolated singularity is a closed point.

iii) Recall that in the definition of the rigid cohomology with constant coefficients of a scheme X one starts by choosing a realization $(X \subset Y \subset P)$. The rigid cohomology $H^i_{rig}(X)$ is then defined as the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^i\left(]Y[_P, j_X^{\dagger}\Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P}\right)$. In order to show that this definition is independent of the choice of the realization, one can prove that every diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' = X & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f = \mathrm{Id}_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

with g proper and u smooth in a neighbourhood of X induces an isomorphism on the cohomology. This is done in [LS07, Proposition 6.5.3]. More specifically, it is the base change map that is described in [LS07, Proposition 6.2.6] that induces the isomorphism. Our approach for theorem 1.5 is to prove a local version of this result in the case where f is an étale morphism rather than the identity map on X. See theorem 2.3 for more details.

iv) There is a well-known analogous result for the local cohomology of étale sheaves. See [Mil80, Proposition III.1.27]. By using proposition 1.2 we also get an analogous result of theorem 1.1 for ℓ-adic cohomology.

We now show that theorem 1.1 is just a special case of our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{O}_{X'/K}$ resp. $\mathcal{O}_{X/K}$ denote the constant F-isocrystal on X' resp. on X. Now choose two morphisms $f'\colon X'' \to X'$ and $f\colon X'' \to X$ that satisfy the conditions from proposition 1.2. The theorem now follows from proposition 1.4, theorem 1.5 and the fact that $(f')^*\mathcal{O}_{X'/K} = f^*\mathcal{O}_{X/K} = \mathcal{O}_{X''/K}$. □

2 Proof of the main theorem

This section contains the proof of our main theorem 1.5. First we recall the definition of the canonical map on sheaves with supports. We show that this map is an isomorphism under certain conditions. In paragraph 2.2 we briefly recall the definition of the base change map of rigid cohomology. After this we reformulate our main theorem 1.5 in terms of base change maps. We then finish the proof in the last two paragraphs.

2.1 The canonical map on sheaves with supports

Consider a morphism of frames

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

Recall that if E is an $\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module then there is a canonical map

$$u_K^* j_X^\dagger E \longrightarrow j_{X'}^\dagger u_K^* E.$$

where $u_K\colon]Y'[_{P'} \to]Y[_P$ denotes the morphism on tubes that one gets by restriction from the morphism $P'_K \to P_K$. This map is an isomorphism if the morphism of frames is Cartesian. See [LS07, Corollary 5.3.9] for more details. We briefly recall how this map can be used to define a canonical map on sheaves with supports. This construction can also be found in [LS07, Corollary 5.3.10]. Let $C' \subset X'$ and $C \subset X$ be closed subschemes such that $f^{-1}(C) \subset C'$. Define $U' = X' \setminus C'$ and $U = X \setminus C$. Then we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & u_K^*\underline{\Gamma}_C^\dagger j_X^\dagger E & \longrightarrow & u_K^* j_X^\dagger E & \longrightarrow & u_K^* j_U^\dagger E & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Gamma}_{C'}^\dagger j_{X'}^\dagger u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & j_{X'}^\dagger u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & j_{U'}^\dagger u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By the universal property of the kernel we now obtain a canonical morphism

$$u_K^*\underline{\Gamma}_C^\dagger j_X^\dagger E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}_{C'}^\dagger j_{X'}^\dagger u_K^* E. \tag{2.1}$$

In the case where E is a $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module, composing with $j_{X'}^\dagger$ also gives a canonical map

$$u^\dagger \underline{\Gamma}_C^\dagger E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}_{C'}^\dagger u^\dagger E. \tag{2.2}$$

The first step towards proving the main theorem 1.5 is to show that the canonical map on sheaves with supports is an isomorphism if the morphism of frames is flat and if the supports are Cartesian.

Proposition 2.1. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

be a flat morphism of frames. Let E be a $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module. Also choose two closed subschemes $C' \subset X'$ and $C \subset X$ such that $C' = C \times_X X'$. Then the canonical map (2.2) is an isomorphism.

Proof. We may factor our morphism of frames as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & & & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & & & \\ X'' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

where $X'' = X \times_Y Y'$ and where $X' \to X''$ is an open immersion. Define $C'' = C \times_X X''$. Then the canonical map (2.1) factors as

$$u_K^*\underline{\Gamma}_C^\dagger E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}_{C''}^\dagger j_{X''}^\dagger u_K^* E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}_{C'}^\dagger j_{X'}^\dagger u_K^* E$$

where the second map is the canonical morphism for the upper part of the diagram applied to the $j_{X''}^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}$-module $j_{X''}^\dagger u_K^* E$. The map (2.2) is obtained

by applying the functor $j^\dagger_{X'}$ to this composition. Let us first show that the canonical map

$$u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_C E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{C''} j^\dagger_{X''} u_K^* E \tag{2.3}$$

is an isomorphism. Since $X'' = X \times_Y Y'$ we know by [LS07, Corollary 5.3.9] that the canonical map $u_K^* E \to j^\dagger_{X''} u_K^* E$ is an isomorphism. Now write $U'' = X'' \setminus C''$ and $U = X \setminus C$. The condition $C'' = C \times_X X''$ implies that $U'' = U \times_X X''$. But since also $X'' = X \times_Y Y'$ we have $U'' = U \times_Y Y'$. This means that the canonical map $u_K^* j_U^\dagger E \to j^\dagger_{U''} u_K^* E$ is also an isomorphism. By [LS07, Corollary 3.3.6] there exists a strict neighbourhood V' of $]X''[_{P'}$ in $]Y'[_{P'}$ such that u_K is flat on V'. By [LS07, Proposition 5.1.13], the pushforward along the inclusion $V' \hookrightarrow]Y'[_{P'}$ gives an equivalence of categories between the $j^\dagger_{X''}\mathcal{O}_{V'}$-modules and the $j^\dagger_{X''}\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}$-modules. Therefore we may assume u_K to be flat. This means that the functor u_K^* is exact and we obtain the following diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_C E & \longrightarrow & u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & u_K^* j_U^\dagger E & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{C''} j^\dagger_{X''} u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & j^\dagger_{X''} u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & j^\dagger_{U''} u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

The induced morphism on the kernels, which is equal to (2.3), is indeed an isomorphism. It remains to show that the map

$$j^\dagger_{X'} \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{C''} j^\dagger_{X''} u_K^* E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{C'} j^\dagger_{X'} u_K^* E \tag{2.4}$$

is an isomorphism. For this we consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows in which $U' = X' \setminus C'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{C''} j^\dagger_{X''} u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & j^\dagger_{X''} u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & j^\dagger_{U''} u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{C'} j^\dagger_{X'} u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & j^\dagger_{X'} u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & j^\dagger_{U'} u_K^* E & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

By definition, the canonical map

$$\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{C''} j^\dagger_{X''} u_K^* E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{C'} j^\dagger_{X'} u_K^* E$$

is the induced map on the kernels. Recall that the functor $j^\dagger_{X'}$ is exact, hence preserving kernels. So the canonical map (2.4) may be computed by applying $j^\dagger_{X'}$ to the diagram above and then taking the induced morphism on the kernels. In order to prove our last claim, it is therefore sufficient to show that the canonical map

$$j^\dagger_{X'} j^\dagger_{U''} u_K^* E \longrightarrow j^\dagger_{U'} u_K^* E$$

is an isomorphism. Since $C' = C \times_X X'$ we have that $C' = C'' \times_{X''} X'$. This means that $U' = U'' \times_{X''} X'$ or in other words, $U' = U'' \cap X'$. This implies that $j^\dagger_{X'} j^\dagger_{U''} = j^\dagger_{U'}$. □

2.2 Base change maps

We recall some facts about base change maps in the context of rigid cohomology. Consider a commutative diagram of rigid analytic spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V' & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & W' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} \\ V & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & W \end{array}$$

and let E be an $\mathcal{O}_V$-module. Then there is a canonical *base change map*

$$\beta^* \alpha_* E \longrightarrow \alpha'_* (\beta')^* E.$$

By definition, this map is obtained by adjunction from the canonical morphism

$$\alpha_* E \longrightarrow \beta_* \alpha'_* (\beta')^* E = \alpha_* \beta'_* (\beta')^* E$$

that is obtained by applying the functor α_* to the adjunction unit $E \to \beta'_* (\beta')^* E$. There is also a base change map

$$\beta^* \left(\mathbb{R}\alpha_*\right) E \longrightarrow \left(\mathbb{R}\alpha'_*\right) (\beta')^* E$$

in the derived category $D^+ (\mathcal{O}_V\text{-Mod})$. We refer to paragraphs XII.4 and XVII.2 of [SGA4] for more details. This construction is the starting point for the definition of the base change map of rigid cohomology. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

be a morphism of frames. Also choose two closed subschemes $C' \subset X'$ and $C \subset X$ such that $f^{-1}(C) \subset C'$. Let E be a $j^\dagger_X \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module with an integrable connection over K. By applying the base change map of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}]Y'[_{P'} & \xrightarrow{u_K} &]Y[_P \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle u_K} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}} \\ ]Y[_P & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}} &]Y[_P \end{array}$$

to the de Rham complex $\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P/K}$ we obtain a morphism

$$(u\star)_1 \colon \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P/K} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u_K^* \left(\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P/K}\right).$$

Then the canonical map $\mathrm{Id} \to j^{\dagger}_{X'}$ gives us another morphism

$$(u\star)_2 \colon (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u_K^* \left(\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P/K}\right) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u^{\dagger} \left(\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P/K}\right).$$

After this, the canonical morphism

$$u_K^* \left(\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P/K}\right) \longrightarrow u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y'[_{P'}/K}$$

gives us another map

$$(u\star)_3 \colon (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u^{\dagger} \left(\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P/K}\right) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u^{\dagger}\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y'[_{P'}/K}.$$

Finally, we may use the canonical map $u^{\dagger}\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C'}u^{\dagger}E$ to obtain a morphism

$$(u\star)_4 \colon (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u^{\dagger}\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y'[_{P'}/K} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C'}u^{\dagger}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y'[_{P'}/K}.$$

Definition 2.2. The canonical map

$$u\star \colon \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P/K} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C'}u^{\dagger}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y'[_{P'}/K}$$

that is given by the composition

$$u\star = (u\star)_4 \circ (u\star)_3 \circ (u\star)_2 \circ (u\star)_1$$

is called the *base change map of rigid cohomology.*

Note that our definition of the base change map is slightly different from the definition that can be found in [LS07, Proposition 6.2.6]. It is however easy to check that both definitions give the same map.

2.3 Part I of the proof: Reformulation

As we mentioned before, the key to proving our main theorem 1.5 is to generalize [LS07, Proposition 6.5.3]. The big difference is that in our generalized setting we can only obtain a local result. More specifically, let $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$ be closed points such that $(X', x') \succ (X, x)$ via some map $f \colon U_{x'} \to X$. Since the local cohomology at x' only depends on an open neighbourhood of

x', we may assume that $U_{x'} = X'$ and that f is étale. What we need to show is that for such an f, the base change map with $C' = \{x'\}$ and $C = \{x\}$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 2.3. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

be a proper smooth morphism of smooth S-frames. Also assume that f is étale. Let E be a coherent $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module with an integrable connection over K. Choose two closed points $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$ such that $f^{-1}(x) = \{x'\}$ and such that f induces an isomorphism $k(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x')$ on the residue fields. Then the base change map

$$u\star\colon \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y[_P/K} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x'\}} u^\dagger E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y'[_{P'}/K}$$

is an isomorphism in the derived category $D^+\left(\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}\text{-}Mod\right)$.

The proof of theorem 2.3 will be covered in the next two paragraphs. In the remainder this paragraph we show that our main theorem 1.5 is a simple consequence of the theorem above.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. First note that by [LS07, Proposition 8.2.8] the local cohomology of X' at x' only depends on an open neighbourhood of x'. We may therefore assume that $U_{x'} = X'$ and that f is étale. Now choose a realization of f:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

Then let E be the realization of $\mathcal{F}$ on the frame $(X \subset Y \subset P)$. Note that by definition, $u^\dagger E$ is the realization of $f^*\mathcal{F}$ on $(X' \subset Y' \subset P')$. Let $p\colon (X \subset Y \subset P) \to S$ denote the structural morphism of the S-frame $(X \subset Y \subset P)$. Then we construct an isomorphism

$$H^\bullet_{rig,\{x\}}(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet_{rig,\{x'\}}\left(X', f^*\mathcal{F}\right)$$

by applying the functor $\mathbb{R}p_{K*}$ to the isomorphism of theorem 2.3. The fact that this isomorphism is functorial in $\mathcal{F}$ is an easy consequence of the fact that base change maps behave in a functorial way. Our construction is moreover

Frobenius-equivariant. These last two facts even remain true if one carries out the construction for a general f that is not necessarily étale. □

Let us briefly recall why the map $H^{\bullet}_{rig,\{x\}}(X,\mathcal{F}) \to H^{\bullet}_{rig,\{x'\}}(X',f^*\mathcal{F})$ is Frobenius-equivariant for any morphism $f\colon X' \to X$. We will denote the structural morphism of X' (resp. of X) by p' (resp. by p). Let $F_{X'}$ (resp. F_X) denote the absolute Frobenius on X' (resp. on X). Also fix an isometric lifting $\sigma\colon K \to K$ of the Frobenius on k. Let $F^*_{X'}$ (resp. F^*_X) denote the pullback functor on $\mathrm{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X'/S)$ (resp. on $\mathrm{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X/S)$) relative to this lifting. Also let $\Phi\colon F^*_X\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$ denote the Frobenius structure on $\mathcal{F}$. Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{R}p_{rig,\{x\}}\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}p_{rig,\{x\}}F^*_X\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbb{R}p_{rig,\{x\}}\mathcal{F} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{R}p'_{rig,\{x'\}}f^*\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}p'_{rig,\{x'\}}F^*_{X'}f^*\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{f^*\Phi} & \mathbb{R}p'_{rig,\{x'\}}f^*\mathcal{F}
\end{array}$$

The rows of this diagram describe the Frobenius actions on $\mathbb{R}p_{rig,\{x\}}\mathcal{F}$ and on $\mathbb{R}p'_{rig,\{x'\}}f^*\mathcal{F}$. The vertical arrows all come from the base change map of the pullback along f. We have to check that this diagram is commutative. The leftmost square commutes because of the fact that $f \circ F_{X'} = F_X \circ f$. The square on the right commutes by functoriality of the base change map.

2.4 Part II of the proof: The quasi-compact étale case

The aim of this paragraph is to prove theorem 2.3 in the case of an étale morphism of frames such that the induced morphism on tubes $u_K\colon]Y'[_{P'} \to]Y[_P$ is quasi-compact. For such a morphism of frames and for $E = j^{\dagger}_X\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$ it has already been shown in the appendix of [Ber97] that there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\}}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y[_P/K} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\,\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x'\}}u^{\dagger}E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{]Y'[_{P'}/K}.$$

However, it is not very clear from this proof that the isomorphism is given by the base change map of rigid cohomology. In other words, it is not clear that the resulting isomorphism on the local cohomology is Frobenius-equivariant. We will use similar techniques as in [Ber97, Proposition A.10] to derive a more precise result that moreover holds for any $j^{\dagger}_X\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module with an integrable connection.

Theorem 2.4. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

be an étale morphism of smooth S-frames such that the induced morphism on tubes $u_K\colon]Y'[_{P'} \to]Y[_P$ is quasi-compact. Choose two closed points $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$ such that $f^{-1}(x) = \{x'\}$ and such that f induces an isomorphism $k(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x')$ on the residue fields. Let E be a $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module with an integrable connection over K. Then the base change map

$$u\star\colon \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y[_P/K} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x'\}} u^\dagger E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y'[_{P'}/K}$$

is an isomorphism in the derived category $D^+\left(\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}\text{-}Mod\right)$.

Note that we require u to be étale in a neighbourhood of X', not just in a neighbourhood of x' as is the case in [Ber97, Proposition A.10]. As far as we can see, this stronger condition is necessary to ensure that the base change map is an isomorphism, even in the case where $E = j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$. Before we can give the proof of this result, we will need to introduce modified versions of the functors $j_X^\dagger$ and $\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{]\overline{C}[_P}$ that also appear in [Ber97].

Definition 2.5. Consider a frame $(X \subset Y \subset P)$ and let $\overline{C} \subset Y$ be a closed subset. For $\eta < 1$, we denote by j_η the immersion

$$j_\eta\colon]Y[_P \backslash [Y \setminus X]_{P,\eta} \hookrightarrow]Y[_P$$

and by i_η the immersion

$$i_\eta\colon]Y[_P \backslash [\overline{C}]_{P,\eta} \hookrightarrow]Y[_P.$$

If E is an $\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module then we define

$$j^\dagger_{X,\eta} E = j_{\eta *} j_\eta^* E$$

and

$$\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{]\overline{C}[_P,\eta} E = \mathrm{Ker}\left(E \longrightarrow i_{\eta *} i_\eta^* E\right).$$

We state some basic properties of the functors $j^\dagger_{X,\eta}$ and $\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{]\overline{C}[_P,\eta}$, some of which are already shown in [Ber97].

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(X \subset Y \subset P)$ be a frame. Take a closed subset $\overline{C} \subset Y$ and write $C = \overline{C} \cap X$. Also write $U = X \setminus C$. Let E be an $\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module. Then the following properties hold.*

i) There are canonical isomorphisms

$$\varinjlim_{\eta} j^{\dagger}_{X,\eta} E \xrightarrow{\sim} j^{\dagger}_{X} E \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\varinjlim_{\eta} \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{]\overline{C}[_P,\eta} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{]\overline{C}[_P} E. \tag{2.6}$$

ii) Write $W =]\overline{C}[_P$ *and let* $i_W : W \hookrightarrow]Y[_P$ *denote the inclusion map. Choose any* $\eta < 1$*. Then the base change map*

$$\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{]\overline{C}[_P,\eta} E \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R} i_{W*})\, i_W^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{]\overline{C}[_P,\eta} E \tag{2.7}$$

that is associated to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{i_W} &]Y[_P \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_W} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Id} \\ ]Y[_P & \xrightarrow{Id} &]Y[_P \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism in the derived category $D^+\left(\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}\text{-}Mod\right)$*.*

iii) For any $\eta < 1$*, we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{]\overline{C}[_P,\eta} j^{\dagger}_{X,\eta} E \longrightarrow j^{\dagger}_{X,\eta} E \longrightarrow j^{\dagger}_{U,\eta} E \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, $\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{]\overline{C}[_P,\eta} j^{\dagger}_{X,\eta} E$ *only depends on* C *and not on* $\overline{C}$*. Therefore we will write* $\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C,\eta} j^{\dagger}_{X,\eta} E$ *instead of* $\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{]\overline{C}[_P,\eta} j^{\dagger}_{X,\eta} E$*.*

iv) There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_{\eta} \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C,\eta} j^{\dagger}_{X,\eta} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C} j^{\dagger}_{X} E. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. The first two claims are proved in [Ber97, A.9.1] and [Ber97, A.9.2]. Property iii) is analogous to [LS07, Proposition 5.2.9]. The last claim is a direct consequence of i) and iii) and of the fact that filtered colimits of $\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-modules commute with finite limits. □

Next we investigate how the functors $j^{\dagger}_{X,\eta}$ and $\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{C,\eta} j^{\dagger}_{X,\eta}$ behave w.r.t. morphisms of frames.

Proposition 2.7. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

be a morphism of frames. Choose closed subsets $C' \subset X'$ and $C \subset X$ such that $f^{-1}(C) \subset C'$. Let E be an $\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module. Then the following properties hold.

i) There is a canonical map $u_K^* j_{X,\eta}^\dagger E \longrightarrow j_{X',\eta}^\dagger u_K^* E$ that is an isomorphism when the morphism of frames is Cartesian.

ii) There is a canonical map

$$u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}_{C,\eta}^\dagger j_{X,\eta}^\dagger E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}_{C',\eta}^\dagger j_{X',\eta}^\dagger u_K^* E. \tag{2.10}$$

iii) Assume that E is a $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module. If the morphism of frames is flat and if $C' = C \times_X X'$ then the morphism

$$j_{X',\eta}^\dagger u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}_{C,\eta}^\dagger E \longrightarrow \underline{\Gamma}_{C',\eta}^\dagger j_{X',\eta}^\dagger u_K^* E \tag{2.11}$$

that is obtained by applying $j_{X',\eta}^\dagger$ to (2.10) is an isomorphism.

iv) For any $\eta < 1$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}_{C,\eta}^\dagger j_{X,\eta}^\dagger E & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Gamma}_{C',\eta}^\dagger j_{X',\eta}^\dagger u_K^* E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}_C^\dagger j_X^\dagger E & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Gamma}_{C'}^\dagger j_{X'}^\dagger u_K^* E \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal arrows are given by (2.10) and (2.1) and where the vertical arrows come from the isomorphism (2.9). Moreover, if E is a $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module then for any $\eta \leq \lambda < 1$ we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_{X',\lambda}^\dagger u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}_{C,\eta}^\dagger E & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Gamma}_{C',\eta}^\dagger j_{X',\lambda}^\dagger u_K^* E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j_{X'}^\dagger u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}_C^\dagger E & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Gamma}_{C'}^\dagger j_{X'}^\dagger u_K^* E \end{array} \tag{2.12}$$

by using the isomorphism (2.5).

Proof. The canonical map from i) is just the base change map for the diagram below, applied to the sheaf $j_\eta^* E$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}]Y'[_{P'} \backslash]Y' \setminus X'[_{P'\eta} & \xrightarrow{j'_\eta} &]Y'[_{P'} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u_K} \\ ]Y[_P \backslash]Y \setminus X[_{P\eta} & \xrightarrow{j_\eta} &]Y[_P \end{array}$$

We may then use the short exact sequence (2.8) in order to define a canonical map on sheaves with supports, just as we did in paragraph 2.1. The proof of iii) is then analogous to the proof of proposition 2.1. The only subtle point is that we may still use proposition [LS07, Proposition 5.1.13] to reduce to the case where u_K is flat. This is because E is assumed to be a $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module, not just a $j_{X,\eta}^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module. For the proof of the last claim, use the fact that filtered colimits commute with finite limits and that u_K^* is a left adjoint, hence preserving colimits. □

We now use the results about the functors $j_{X,\eta}^\dagger$ and $\underline{\Gamma}_{C,\eta}^\dagger j_{X,\eta}^\dagger$ to prove a weak version of theorem 2.4.

Proposition 2.8. *Consider an étale morphism of frames*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

such that the induced morphism on tubes $u_K \colon]Y'[_{P'} \to]Y[_P$ is quasi-compact. Choose two closed points $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$ such that $f^{-1}(x) = \{x'\}$ and such that f induces an isomorphism $k(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x')$ on the residue fields. Let E be a $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module. Then the canonical map

$$\underline{\Gamma}_{\{x\}}^\dagger E \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}_{\{x\}}^\dagger E \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u^\dagger \underline{\Gamma}_{\{x\}}^\dagger E \tag{2.13}$$

that is defined in a similar way as the composition $(u\star)_2 \circ (u\star)_1$ from paragraph 2.2 is an isomorphism.

Proof. Define $W' =]\{x'\}[_{P'}$ and $W =]\{x\}[_P$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W' & \xrightarrow{i_{W'}} &]Y'[_{P'} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u_K} \\ W & \xrightarrow{i_W} &]Y[_P \end{array}$$

where v is the restriction of u_K and $i_{W'}$, i_W are open immersions. The fact that f induces an isomorphism $k(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x')$ on residue fields means that the

restriction $f\colon \operatorname{Spec} k(x') \to \operatorname{Spec} k(x)$ is an isomorphism. Since u_K is étale in a neighbourhood of x' it then follows from [LS07, Proposition 2.3.15] that v is an isomorphism. Now observe that we have $\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E = \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{]\{x\}[_P,\eta}E$ for any $\eta < 1$ because $\{x\}$ is closed in X and in Y. The isomorphism (2.7) then tells us that

$$\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}i_{W*})\, i_W^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E. \tag{2.14}$$

A similar remark applies to $\underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x'\},\eta}\, j^{\dagger}_{X',\eta} u_K^* E$. We now apply [SGA4, Proposition XII.4.4] to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W' & \xrightarrow{i_{W'}} &]Y'[_{P'} & \xrightarrow{u_K} &]Y[_P \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u_K} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}} \\ W & \xrightarrow{i_W} &]Y[_P & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}} &]Y[_P \end{array} \tag{2.15}$$

and to the sheaf $i_W^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E$. By making use of the isomorphism (2.14) we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E & \xrightarrow{a_1} & (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E \\ & \searrow^{a_3} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_2} \\ & & \mathbb{R}\,(u_{K*} i_{W'*})\, v^* i_W^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E \end{array}$$

The horizontal arrow a_1 is the base change map coming from the rightmost square of (2.15), applied to the sheaf $(\mathbb{R}i_{W*})\, i_W^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E$. The map a_2 is obtained by applying $\mathbb{R}u_{K*}$ to the base change map coming from the leftmost square of (2.15). By using [SGA4, Proposition XII.4.4] again we see that a_2 is equal to the morphism that one gets after applying $\mathbb{R}u_{K*}$ to the canonical morphism

$$u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}i_{W'*})\, i_{W'}^* u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E.$$

The arrow a_3 is obtained by taking the base change map of the total diagram (2.15). Note that a_3 is equal to the morphism that one gets by applying $\mathbb{R}i_{W*}$ to the canonical map

$$i_W^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}v_*)\, v^* i_W^* \underline{\Gamma}^{\dagger}_{\{x\},\eta}E.$$

Since v is an isomorphism it follows that a_3 is an isomorphism. Let us now look at the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\},\eta} E & \xrightarrow{\quad b_1 \quad} & (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, j^\dagger_{X',\eta} u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\},\eta} E \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_2} \\ (\mathbb{R}u_{K*} i_{W'*})\, i^*_{W'} u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\},\eta} E & \xrightarrow[\quad b_3 \quad]{} & (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, j^\dagger_{X',\eta}\, (\mathbb{R}i_{W'*})\, i^*_{W'} u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\},\eta} E \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal arrows are obtained by applying $\mathbb{R}u_{K*}$ to the canonical map $\mathrm{Id} \to j^\dagger_{X',\eta}$. It is obvious that b_3 is an isomorphism. Indeed, the functor $j^\dagger_{X',\eta}$ is exact so that $j^\dagger_{X',\eta}\,(\mathbb{R}i_{W'*}) = \mathbb{R}(j^\dagger_{X',\eta}\, i_{W'*})$. On the other hand, we have that $j^\dagger_{X',\eta}\, i_{W'*} = i_{W'*}$. We may now construct another diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, A & \xrightarrow{\quad c_1 \quad} & (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle b_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_2} \\ (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, j^\dagger_{X',\eta}\, (\mathbb{R}i_{W'*})\, i^*_{W'} A & \xrightarrow[\quad c_3 \quad]{} & (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, j^\dagger_{X',\eta}\, (\mathbb{R}i_{W'*})\, i^*_{W'} B \end{array}$$

where

$$A = j^\dagger_{X',\eta} u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\},\eta} E$$

and

$$B = \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x'\},\eta}\, j^\dagger_{X',\eta} u_K^* E.$$

Here we have implicitly used the fact that $i^*_{W'} = i^*_{W'} j^\dagger_{X',\eta}$. The arrows c_1 and c_3 are obtained from the canonical map (2.11). Since our morphism of frames is flat and since $f^{-1}(x) = \{x'\}$ we know by proposition 2.7 that c_1 and c_3 are isomorphisms. Also, c_2 is an isomorphism by (2.7). We now have the identity

$$c_2 \circ c_1 \circ b_1 \circ a_1 = c_3 \circ b_3 \circ a_3$$

and we have shown that a_3, b_3, c_1, c_2 and c_3 are isomorphisms. It follows that the composition $b_1 \circ a_1$ is an isomorphism. For any $\eta < 1$ we now construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\},\eta} E & \xrightarrow{d_1} & (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, j^\dagger_{X',\eta} u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\},\eta} E & \xrightarrow{d_2} & (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x'\},\eta}\, j^\dagger_{X',\eta} u_K^* E \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_6} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_3} \\ \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E & \xrightarrow{d_5} & (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, j^\dagger_{X'} u_K^* \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E & \xrightarrow{d_4} & (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x'\}} j^\dagger_{X'} u_K^* E \end{array}$$

as follows: The rightmost square is defined by applying $\mathbb{R}u_{K*}$ to the diagram (2.12) with $\lambda = \eta$. The leftmost square is built by applying the canonical map $(u\star)_1$ to the morphism $\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\},\eta} E \to \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E$ coming from (2.6) and then using the isomorphism (2.5). This means that d_1 is equal to $b_1 \circ a_1$ and d_5 is equal to the map (2.13). In particular, d_1 is an isomorphism. The maps d_2 and d_4 are also isomorphisms by proposition 2.7. Now observe that since u_K is quasi-compact, the functor $\varinjlim_\eta$ commutes with $\mathbb{R}u_{K*}$ by [Ber96, 0.1.8]. So

if we take the limit $\eta \to 1$ then by (2.6) and (2.9) the maps d_6 and d_3 will become isomorphisms. Hence d_5 is an isomorphism. Since d_5 is equal to the map (2.13) this concludes the proof. □

With proposition 2.8 in place the proof of theorem 2.4 becomes relatively straightforward.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Like we did in paragraph 2.2, we divide the base change map $u\star$ into several parts $(u\star)_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$. It follows from proposition 2.1 that $(u\star)_4$ is an isomorphism. By [LS07, Corollary 3.3.6] we know that the map $u_K \colon]Y'[_{P'} \to]Y[_P$ is étale on some strict neighbourhood V' of $]X'[_{P'}$ in $]Y'[_{P'}$. It then follows from propositions 5.1.13 and 6.2.2 in [LS07] that $(u\star)_3$ is an isomorphism if and only if the corresponding map for the restriction $u_K \colon V' \to]Y[_P$ is an isomorphism. Therefore we may assume u_K to be étale, which implies that $\Omega^\bullet_{]Y'[_{P'}/]Y[_P} = 0$. Since our frames are smooth, we may also assume that $]Y'[_{P'}$ and $]Y[_P$ are smooth. In this situation it is very easy to compute the Gauss-Manin filtration on the de Rham complex of any $\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}$-module with an integrable connection and it immediately follows that $(u\star)_3$ is an isomorphism. It remains to show that the composition $(u\star)_2 \circ (u\star)_1$ is an isomorphism. For this we can use proposition 2.8. Indeed, proposition 2.8 is equivalent to saying that for every $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module $\mathcal{E}$, the map

$$\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow u_{K*} u^\dagger \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} \mathcal{E} \tag{2.16}$$

is an isomorphism and

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^i u_{K*}\right) u^\dagger \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} \mathcal{E} = 0 \tag{2.17}$$

for all $i > 0$. Note that by Proposition 5.3.2 and Corollary 5.3.3 in [LS07], every term of the de Rham complex $\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y[_P/K}$ satisfies the conditions of proposition 2.8. By using the spectral sequence of hypercohomology

$$E_1^{m,n} = \left(\mathbb{R}^m u_{K*}\right) u^\dagger \left(\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^n_{]Y[_P/K}\right) \Longrightarrow \left(\mathbb{R}^{m+n} u_{K*}\right) u^\dagger \left(\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y[_P/K}\right)$$

we deduce from (2.17) that

$$\left(\mathbb{R} u_{K*}\right) u^\dagger \left(\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y[_P/K}\right) = u_{K*} u^\dagger \left(\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y[_P/K}\right).$$

This means that $(u\star)_2 \circ (u\star)_1$ is equal to the canonical map

$$\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y[_P/K} \longrightarrow u_{K*} u^\dagger \left(\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y[_P/K}\right). \tag{2.18}$$

But this map can be computed term by term. From the fact that (2.16) is an isomorphism it then follows that (2.18) is an isomorphism as well. We have

now shown that the maps $(u\star)_4$, $(u\star)_3$ and $(u\star)_2 \circ (u\star)_1$ are isomorphisms. This finishes the proof. □

2.5 Part III of the proof: The general case

In this paragraph we finish the proof of theorem 2.3. First we improve theorem 2.4 by removing the condition that the induced map on tubes $u_K :]Y'[_{P'} \to]Y[_P$ should be quasi-compact.

Theorem 2.9. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

be an étale morphism of smooth S-frames. Also assume that f is étale. Let E be a coherent $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module with an integrable connection over K. Choose two closed points $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$ such that $f^{-1}(x) = \{x'\}$ and such that f defines an isomorphism $k(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x')$ on the residue fields. Then the base change map

$$u\star : \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y[_P/K} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}u_{K*})\, \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x'\}} u^\dagger E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}} \Omega^\bullet_{]Y'[_{P'}/K}$$

is an isomorphism in the derived category $D^+\left(\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}\text{-}Mod\right)$.

Proof. Let $Q' \subset P'$ be an open neighbourhood of X' such that the restriction $u_{|Q'}$ is étale. Then define $X'' = X \times_P Q'$ and $Y'' = Y \times_P P'$. We claim that the canonical map $X' \to Y''$ is an open immersion. Since this morphism factors through X'' and since it is easy to see that the canonical map $X'' \to Y''$ is an open immersion, it suffices to show that the canonical morphism $\alpha : X' \to X''$ is an open immersion. It is clear that α is an immersion. Now consider the projection morphism $\beta : X'' \to X$. Since $f = \beta \circ \alpha$ and since β is étale by construction, it follows that α is étale as well. This shows that α is indeed an open immersion, proving our claim. The fact that $X' \to Y''$ is an open immersion allows us to factor our morphism of frames as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y' & & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ X' & \longrightarrow & Y'' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

Recall that the rigid analytic spaces P'_K and P_K are quasi-compact and quasi-separated. Hence the morphism $u_K\colon P'_K \to P_K$ is quasi-compact. Moreover, we have that $u_K^{-1}\,(]Y[_P) =]Y''[_{P'}$ according to [LS07, Proposition 2.2.6]. It follows that the induced morphism on tubes $u_K\colon]Y''[_{P'} \to]Y[_P$ is quasi-compact as well. This allows us to apply theorem 2.4 to the lower part of the diagram. Now observe that the morphism $Y' \to Y''$ is a closed immersion, hence proper. So according to [LS07, Proposition 6.5.3], the base change map that is associated to the upper part of the diagram is an isomorphism as well. □

The key idea for the proof of theorem 2.3 is to show that an étale map $f\colon X' \to X$ has an étale realization, at least after shrinking X' and X. In this way one reduces the problem to theorem 2.9. The proof of this fact relies on a number of geometric results that we discuss below.

Proposition 2.10.

i) Consider a proper morphism of frames

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

where f is quasi-projective. Then we can blow up a closed subvariety of Y' outside X' in P' to obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \widetilde{Y'} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{P'} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

where the composition $\widetilde{Y'} \to Y$ is projective.

ii) Consider a strict morphism of frames

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

where u is a formal blowing up. Then the map $u_K\colon]Y'[_{P'} \to]Y[_P$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, any admissible open neighbourhood V of $]Y[_P$ is a strict neighbourhood of $]X[_P$ in $]Y[_P$ if and only if $u_K^{-1}(V)$ is a strict neighbourhood of $]X'[_{P'}$ in $]Y'[_{P'}$. That is, giving a $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y[_P}$-module amounts to the same thing as giving a $j_{X'}^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}$-module.

iii) Consider a frame $(X \subset Y \subset P)$ together with a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

where the map $X' \to Y'$ is an open immersion, f is a local complete intersection morphism and g is projective. Then locally on X', there exists a closed subscheme $Y'' \subset Y'$ containing X' such that the map $g_{|Y''}$ extends to a proper étale morphism of frames

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y'' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

Proof.

i) Apply [RG71, Corollaire 5.7.14] to the morphism $Y' \to Y$ and the open subset $X' \subset Y'$, which is quasi-projective over Y.

ii) This follows from [LS07, Corollary 2.2.7] and [LS07, Proposition 3.1.13].

iii) The composition $Y' \to Y \to P$ can be factored through a closed immersion $Y' \to \mathbb{P}_P^N$ for some N. It now suffices to show that the morphism i in the diagram below is a regular immersion. The rest of the proof is analogous to [LS07, Lemma 6.5.1].



First note that i is an immersion, since the maps $X' \to \mathbb{P}^N_P$ and $\mathbb{P}^N_P \times_P X \to \mathbb{P}^N_P$ are immersions. Also, $\mathbb{P}^N_P \times_P X \to X$ is smooth since it is obtained by base extension from a smooth morphism. It follows from [Liu02, Corollary 6.3.22] that i is indeed regular. □

With all the preliminary work, the proof of theorem 2.3 becomes very similar to the proof of [LS07, Proposition 6.5.3].

Proof of Theorem 2.3. First note that we can always replace X' and X by open neighbourhoods $U_{x'}$, U_x of x' resp. of x such that $f(U_{x'}) \subset U_x$. Indeed, the base change map coming from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_x & & & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & & & \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

is simply the canonical map $\underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} \to \underline{\Gamma}^\dagger_{\{x\}} j^\dagger_U$ applied to the de Rham complex of E. This is an isomorphism by [LS07, Proposition 5.2.12]. A similar argument holds for the inclusion $U_{x'} \hookrightarrow X'$ and the $j^\dagger_{X'}\mathcal{O}_{]Y'[_{P'}}$-module $u^\dagger E$. We may also replace Y' by a closed subscheme that contains X'. By [LS07, Proposition 6.5.3] this does not alter the base change map either. We will refer to a combination of these two operations as a *shrinking* of the data. The fact that a shrinking of the data does not alter the base change map can be used to reduce the problem to the case where f has an étale realization. Indeed, after replacing X' and X by open neighbourhoods of x' resp. of x we may assume that f is an affine morphism, hence quasi-projective. By the first two points of proposition 2.10 we then reduce to the case where g is projective. After some more shrinking of X' and Y' we may use the third point of proposition 2.10 to obtain an étale morphism of frames

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & P'' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

Now consider the diagonal embedding $Y' \hookrightarrow P''' = P' \times_P P''$ and let $p_1 \colon P''' \to P'$ and $p_2 \colon P''' \to P''$ denote the projection maps. By construction we have that

$$v \circ p_1 = u \circ p_2. \tag{2.19}$$

Also, p_1 and p_2 are smooth since they are obtained by base extension from v resp. from u. By the identity (2.19) it is now sufficient to prove that the base change maps that are associated to v and to the diagrams



are isomorphisms. In theorem 2.9 we have already proved that the base change map for the étale morphism of frames v is an isomorphism. For the two morphisms of frames p_1 and p_2 it follows directly from [LS07, Proposition 6.5.3]. □

References

[Art69] M. Artin. Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (36):23–58, 1969.

[Ber96] Pierre Berthelot. Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie à support propre, première partie. *Prépublication de l'IRMAR*, 96–03:1–89, 1996.

[Ber97] Pierre Berthelot. Finitude et pureté cohomologique en cohomologie rigide. *Invent. Math.*, 128(2):329–377, 1997. With an appendix in English by Aise Johan de Jong.

[GK90] G.-M. Greuel and H. Kröning. Simple singularities in positive characteristic. *Math. Z.*, 203(2):339–354, 1990.

[Liu02] Qing Liu. *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.

[LS07] Bernard Le Stum. *Rigid cohomology*, volume 172 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[Mil80] James S. Milne. *Étale cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[RG71] Michel Raynaud and Laurent Gruson. Critères de platitude et de projectivité. Techniques de "platification" d'un module. *Invent. Math.*, 13:1–89, 1971.

[SGA4] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1963-64 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1972.